



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,665	01/12/2000	KOJI MINAMI	0925-0154P	9884	
7590 11/08/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP PO BOX 747			YENKE,	YENKE, BRIAN P	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
*.			2614		

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/887,665	MINAMI ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRIAN P. YENKE	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep	oly to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS to on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extraction or the final Office action or the final Office action.	e extension fee ension fee under			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI). 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (period set forth in of the appeal.				
<u></u>		- NOTE				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 						
issues for appeal; and/or	·					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clain	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	r reconsideration has been consecontinuation.	idered but does NO	T place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or bj ould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	0					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-16 and 18-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appl	roved or b)	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 0. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	BRIAN P. YENKE Primary Examiner	ferle			
Patent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 2614	J			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly amended claims now include subject matter from previously rejected, now canceled claims 22-23. Initially, responding to applicant's arguments, that Kunzman's sensor board 22 and motor 20 control the color wheel 18 and not the SLM 28. The examiner disagrees given the broadest reasonable interpretation given that the combination of the sensor board, motor 20 and electronics 24 are used to coordinate movement between the color wheel and the data flow to SLM 28 (via timing block 40)(col 6, line 21-32). In the event the applicant disagrees with the above response, or would like to discuss the merits of the case or amending the claims, the examiner can be reached at 703-305-9871.